

1

2

3

4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

5

6

ROGELIO C. ESPINOZA,

7

Plaintiff,

8

v.

9

M. LOMELLI,

10

Defendant.

11

Case No. [16-cv-06197-YGR](#) (PR)

**ORDER RE: REASSIGNMENT FROM A  
MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

12

This suit was reassigned from a magistrate judge to the undersigned in light of a recent  
13 Ninth Circuit decision.<sup>1</sup> While this case was in the magistrate judge's hands, orders addressing  
14 various matters were issued. The Court regards the magistrate judge's orders as reports and  
15 recommendations from the magistrate judge to the undersigned. The parties may now offer  
16 objections to those reports and recommendations. Any objections must be filed with this Court on  
17 or before **February 26, 2018**. **No extensions of time will be granted.** If a party files no  
18 objection by that date, the Court will deem that party to have waived his right to object to the  
19 magistrate judge's reports and recommendations.

20

IT IS SO ORDERED.

21

Dated: January 24, 2018

22

23

24

25

26

27

<sup>1</sup> *Williams v. King*, 875 F.3d 500, 503 (9th Cir. 2017) (magistrate judge lacked jurisdiction to dismiss case on initial screening because unserved defendants had not consented to proceed before magistrate judge).



YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS  
United States District Judge